



GA-Based User Identity Management System

Prof. Dipankar Dasgupta, IEEE Fellow

Director: Center for Information Assurance

Center website: cfia.memphis.edu

Dipankar Dasgupta's Ph.D Thesis Structured Genetic Algorithms in Search & Optimization, 1993

BOOKS PUBLISHED



My Research Publications



Agenda

User Identity Verification: Authentication Multi-Factor Authentication (MFA) **Active/Continuous Authentication** Adaptive Multi-Factor (A-MFA) **Overview: Goal & Objectives Design of A-MFA Framework A-MFA Prototype System Use Cases for A-MFA Cyber Identity Ecosystem** Summary



Authentication

- Authentication is the critical safe guards against illegal access to computing systems.
 - the process of giving individuals <u>access</u> to system objects based on their <u>identity</u>.
- Ensures that the individual is who he or she claims to be.
 - But says nothing about the access rights of the individual.

Challenges

- Correctly identify authorized users in particular Operational Settings.
- Take appropriate action on demand basis to prevent unauthorized access.



Single-factor

Username-password. (most widely used as of now!)

Issues

Mostly targeted by the attackers



- If this single channel is compromised, the users are denied of the service until it is restored
- Recent advancement of computer processing power, makes to check all possible cases in a short amount of time
- Difficult to remember for a wide variety of websites

HE UNIVERSITY OF



Need for Multi-Factor: Sample Scenario



THE UNIVERSITY OF MEMORY OF ME

Center for Information Assurance

- What the User knows
 - Password, PIN, pass phrases
- What the User has
 - Smart card, digital certificate, driver's license
- Who the User is
 - Fingerprint, iris scan, voice recognition
- Where the User is
 - GPS, IP address of user

Two Factor

• Generally Password along with SMS for verification code











Authentication Types



Product- Microsoft Azure



Different MFA products in Market Today

Product Name	Vendor	Factors	Features	Source (Website)	
SecureAuth IdP	SecureAuth	Two factors and SSO (out of 20)	Mobile, cloud, web or VPN	www.secureauth.com	
RSA SecureID	RSA	Two factors	Software (smartphones, tablets and PC) and hardware authenticators	http://www.emc.com/security/rsa- securid.htm	
Safenet	SafeNet	Two factors	Cloud, Password + SMS/Hardware Token	www.safenet-inc.com/multi-factor- authentication/?tabnum=2	
SecurEnvoy	SecurEnvoy	Two Factor	Tokenless (One-swipe, SMS Preload, Soft Token, Voice Call, Email Preload)	www.securenvoy.com/	
Symantec O3	Symantec	Cloud identity and access control (Two Factor authentication)	Cloud applications (set policies for groups, persons, devices) [security control point]	www.symantec.com/page.jsp?id=O 3	
Microsoft Azure	Microsoft	Multi factor (Phone call, SMS and Password)	On premises and cloud authenticationsMobile Device + user-id and password	azure.microsoft.com/en- us/services/multi-factor- authentication/	
Deepnet DualShield	Deepnet Security	Two factors out of 10 different methods	SMS, Voice, Mobile App, Face, Keystroke, Smart Cards	www.deepnetsecurity.com/product s/dualshield/	
Swivel Secure	Swivel Secure	SSO + two factor	Mobile App, SMS, tokens, Telephony, Browser	www.swivelsecure.com/	
miniOrange Strong	miniOrange	SSO + two factor	14 different authentication types	miniorange.com/strong_auth	

Current MFA trends

Ballooning Demand for Public Cloud Services Expands the Addressable Market for MFA

Global Market for Public Cloud Computing Services (In US\$ Million) by Geographic Region

75980.74

47203.54

Europe

2015

29673.46

Asia

191534.6

120293.9

North America

Effectiveness of MFA as a potent tool to tackle BYOD security complexity benefits the market.

- Rise in smartphone thefts spurs use of MFA on mobile devices.
- Cloud services need MFA to establish customer trust and increase cloud adoptability.
- Amazon, Google, Yahoo, Dropbox, Facebook, LinkedIn, Twitter, Microsoft uses two factors to access their online services for authentication.

55110.19

http://www.stratagur.com/MarkotPoscarch/Multi Eactor Authontication MEA Markot Trands asp





37% of organisations now use multi-factor authentication for

a majority of employees – up from 30% last year



organisations expect the majority of users to **rely on multi-factor authentication**



Why we should care?

Aside from the fact that all companies should take their customer data security seriously, not having adequate authentication mechanisms in place increases the potential of corporate PII breach risks including:



- Government Enforcement Action
- Class Actions
- Individual Actions





Reputational Exposure





Typical Breach Costs

- Outside Counsel
- Credit Monitoring
- Security & Technology upgrades
- Defence costs
- Fines
- Settlements







Use of Multi-factor Authentication (MFA)

- Provide different choices to the user during authentication to verify their identity.
 - However, all the factors may not be available in all operating conditions.
- Come with a fail-safe feature in case of any authentication factor gets compromised
 - users should be authenticated utilizing the other non-compromised modalities.

• Concerns:

- How to choose a better set of authentication factors out of all possible choices in any given operating environment.
- The choice of an appropriate set of authentication factor determines the performance of the MFA





- The selection procedure should not follow (having bias towards) any pattern that can be used by the attackers.
- The process should make the consideration of previous selection of the authentication factors to avoid repetitive use of the same factors.

Modes of Auth. Factor Selection		Illustration		
Static		A predefined set of modalities for any given environment.		
Dynamic		A set of modalities chosen dynamically at different time triggering event for authentication.		
Dynamic	Random	Modalities are chosen in any random order at the time of authentication.		
×	Adaptive	Modalities are chosen based on current system settings and previously selected modalities.		



> This greatly enhances security without changing the user experience.

- However, when an unauthorized user attempts to gain access with stolen credentials and the additional factors and behaviours normally seen don't line up, the login is prevented and challenged.
- > The selection of multiple authentication factors are conducted adaptively considering





Biometric Presentation Attacks





Public Place with shared wifi



























Overall Concept of A-MFA





A-MFA: Overall Goal and Objectives





Popular A-MFA Modalities & their features



MI: Face Recognition

It is computed through face geometry features. Features include different points in Lips, eyes, brow and cheek, Crows-feet wrinkles nasal root wrinkles.



M2: Finger Print

Three level of features are used for this modality. Level I features show macro details of the ridge flow shape, Level 2 features (minutiae point) are discriminative enough for recognition, and Level 3 features (pores) complement the uniqueness of Level 2 features.



M3: Password

Password is the most common modality. It can be stored in hashed form and matched with the input by hashing the given password as string matching. Password can be made with alpha-numeric characters along with some special characters.



M4: CAPTCHA

It is used to prevent automated software to perform actions and can discriminate between human and bots. a CAPTCHA features an image file of slightly distorted alphanumeric characters. It also has read out feature for users with visually impaired.



Modalities & their features



M5: SMS

SMS feature is used to send the pass-code to any phone number and that code is valid for a short period of time. The phone number should be registered to the system a-priori basis.



M6:Voice recognition

It uses pitch and different formant features (FI, F2 and F3). The pitch of the speech signal contains crucial information about the intonation pattern. The formants represent the articulators of the speech signal where the resonant frequencies are generated.

M7: Keystroke pattern

This modality detects the pattern of the keystrokes. The features used for this techniques are : mean latency and standard deviation of digraphs [A combination of two letters representing one sound], mean duration and standard deviation of keystrokes.

Using Biometric Characteristics

✓ In this chart the further away the characteristic is from the center, the better is the biometric technique.

HE UNIVERSITY OF

- MDHIS

for Information Assurance

- ✓ So for instance keystroke scan and signature scan are low cost, require very little effort, and are not intrusive at all, however they are not distinctive.
- On the other end of the spectrum, retina scan and iris scan, provide very high
 distinctiveness, however they are both expensive, and intrusive





- In this work, an authentication factor is defined as
 (i) Single feature of an authentication modality;
 (ii) Any combination of features of an authentication modality;
 - (iii) Combination of multiple features of different authentication modalities.

Key Term

• $M_k(k \in \mathbb{Z}^+)$ be the k^{th} authentication modality and $\{M_k: f_{k,i}\}$ be its i^{th} feature.

$$\blacktriangleright \left\{ \{\mathbf{M}_k\}: \left\{f_{k,i}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$$

▶ *i*th features of different combinations of $\{M_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$.



- The first features of M_1 and M_2 : $\{M_1: f_{1,1}\}$ and $\{M_2: f_{2,1}\}$.
 - They are considered as two authentication factors (according to (i))
- {M₁: f_{1,1}, f_{1,2}} is one authentication factor (according to (ii))
 combinations of {M₁: f_{1,1}} and {M₁: f_{1,2}}
- {M₁, M₂: f_{1,1}, f_{2,1}} is considered as one authentication factor (according to (iii))
 - combination of $\{M_1: f_{1,1}\}$ and $\{M_2: f_{2,1}\}$.



 Calculation of trustworthy values of combined factor from individual trustworthy values illustrated.



Machine Learning Algorithm





A Framework for A-MFA System





Some Details of A-MFA





Auth Modality Activation Pattern



Illustration of Adaptive Selection Algorithm





Time of Authentication Triggering Events



Initial Experiments



- Dataset is created for 50 users as a test-bed for Adaptive-MFA System
 - Face Dataset:
 - 10 images for registration and 3~5 images for authentication purpose.
 - Faces94, faces95 dataset [1] are used
 - Fingerprint Dataset:
 - 3 images for registration and 2 images for authentication purpose.
 - CASIA Fingerprint Image Database Version 5.0 [2]
 - Voice Dataset:
 - 3 voice samples for registration and 1 voice sample for authentication.
 - Keystroke Dataset:
 - 5 keystroke samples for registration and 3 or more keystroke samples for authentication.
 - CMU dataset [3] is used.
 - Non-biometric data are generated programmatically.
 - Passwords and pass-phrases are hashed using SHA-512 in client side and B-Crypt [4] in the server side (data-at-rest).
 - The communication between client and server are done through https protocol which is basically an endto-end encrypted communication while data-in-motion.
 - 1. Faces 94. The University of Essex. Face Recognition Data Set, Libor Spacek. Url: http://cswww.essex.ac.uk/mv/allfaces/faces94.html
 - 2. Casia-FingerprintV5, Url: http://biometrics.idealtest.org/
 - 3. CMU dataset, Url: <u>http://www.cs.cmu.edu/~keystroke/</u>
 - 4. 4. Bcrypt Generator. Date accessed: September 1, 2016. Url:https://www.bcrypt-generator.com/









Authentication modalities incorporated in A-MFA System



Knowledge- Based Modalities	Possession- Based Modalities	Biometric Modalities	Location-Based Modalities
Password Pass-phrase Security Challenge Questions	SMS Code TOTP Code	Face Recognition Fingerprint Recognition Voice Recognition Keystroke	GPS IP address MAC Address Wi-Fi Triangulation Cellular
		Recognition	Triangulation







- The selection procedure should not follow (having bias towards) any pattern that can be used by the attackers.
- The process should make the consideration of previous selection of the authentication factors to avoid repetitive use of the same factors.

Stress Test: System accuracy given valid and imposter data and varying light and noise conditions

Surrounding Conditions	Two-factor based Authentication		Three-factor based Authentication	
	Valid Data	Imposter Data	Valid Data	Imposter Data
	92%	0%	92%	0%
	98%	0%	96%	0%
()	94%	0%	92%	0%
	79%	8%	76%	0%





Auth-Spectra: Important Features



Video of A-MFA Prototype Demo

A company using a similar Technology: https://www.okta.com/learn/Adaptive-MFA



> A-MFA invisibly can integrate hundreds of auth factors.



Including behaviours, as an extra set of "factors"

Evaluates if there is enough of a match with a user's known profile to allow the user to access a site or service without requiring the user to enter any additional factors.

Adaptation at Different Levels

Center for Information Assurance

THE UNIVERSITY OF



Salehie and Tahvildari (February, 2018) introduce the questions for eliciting adaptation requirements: When to adapt? Why do we have to adapt? Where do we have to implement change? What kind of change is needed? Who has to perform the adaptation? How is the adaptation performed?



Identity Eco-System: Continuous Authentication

- A person be authenticated on a regular interval through
- Physical aspects (example: fingerprint, face geometry, etc.)
- Interaction with the system (example: keystroke pattern, mouse movement, etc.)
- Existing context of the user (example: structural semantic analysis, forensic authorship, etc.)
- Experienced data usage (example: computational linguistics)



User Identity Profiling for Continuous Authentication



THE UNIVERSITY OF

Center for Information Assurance

HMD

Identity Ecosystem Steering Group (IDESG)

IDESG Members

 $\,\circ\,$ More than 350 members and 65 universities over 12 countries



- Private sector group that works under the National Strategy for Trusted Identities in Cyberspace (NSTIC) initiative toward the goal of creating a trust framework that can replace passwords, allow individuals to prove online that they are who they claim to be, and enhance privacy [3].
- Identity Ecosystem Framework
 - A set of three core documents that describe the Identity Ecosystem and requirements, best practices, and approved standards needed to be considered in compliance with it.

A-MFA Applications:

- Continuous, high-confidence, identity authentication for:
- Banking, including online funds transfer
- Online testing in education and training settings
- Secure access to Electronic Medical Records
- Access to Sensitive sites by government employees and others.
- Internet of Things (IoT) sensory data access.
- Use in Blockchain Technology for access verification to Hyper ledger.
- Specific web services such as PayPal, Netflix and other paid services.

Deployable at different levels of Internet Computing:

- Application level (financial applications, email/business/personal applications, social applications)
- User level (root user, administrators, guest user)
- Document level (pdf containing application form, document containing proprietary information, image/video containing confidential and sensitive footage)



2018 IEEE Symposium on Computational Intelligence in Cyber Security (CICS 2018) at 2018 IEEE SYMPOSIUM SERIES ON COMPUTATIONAL INTELLIGENCE (IEEE SSCI 2018) November 18- November 21, 2018, Bengaluru, India.

URL: <u>http://ieee-ssci2018.org/cics.html/</u>

DEADLINES:

- Special Track/Session Proposal: April 5, 2018
- Paper Submission: July 23, 2018

Symposium Chair: Dipankar Dasgupta, IEEE Fellow, The University of Memphis, USA Co-Chair: Marco Carvalho, Florida Institute of Technology, USA Co-Chair: Shamik Sural, Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur, India

THANK YOU!

